Spacecraft Anomalies Database Study

15 December 1994

Prepared by

H. C. KOONS Space and Environment Technology Center Technology Operations

Prepared for

SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS CENTER AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND 2430 E. El Segundo Boulevard Los Angeles Air Force Base, CA 90245

19951020 109

Engineering and Technology Group

DIEG QUALITY INSPECTAD &



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

This report was submitted by The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245-4691, under Contract No. F04701-93-C-0094 with the Space and Missile Systems Center, 2430 E. El Segundo Blvd., Los Angeles Air Force Base, CA 90245. It was reviewed and approved for The Aerospace Corporation by A. B. Christensen, Principal Director, Space and Environment Technology Center. Maj. Leslie O. Belsma (SMC/IMO) was the project officer.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office (PAS) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

Leslie O. Belsma, Major USAF

Constitution of the consti

SMC/CIB

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 15 December 1994	3. REPOR	T TYPE AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Spacecraft Anomalies Database St	udy		F04701-93-C-0094
6. AUTHOR(S)			·
H. C. Koons			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND The Aerospace Corporation	ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Technology Operations			TR-95(5940)-1
El Segundo, CA 90245-4691			,
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME Space and Missile Systems Cen	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ter		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Air Force Materiel Command 2430 E. El Segundo Boulevard			SMC-TR-95-34
Los Angeles Air Force Base, C.	A 90245		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release; distr	ibution unlimited		
13 ARSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			

13. ABSTRACT (*Maximum 200 words*)

A study was conducted to provide an assessment of the capability of using existing databases to determine the seriousness of environmental effects on spacecraft. The conclusion of this study is that at least 20% of all anomalies, including some satellite failures, have been caused by environmental effects. However, existing databases are inadequate to determine the complete extent of environmental effects on satellites. The most serious problem with existing databases is the lack of anomaly time and spacecraft position information. These are essential to relating anomalies to the space environment.

14.	. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 11
	Spacecraft, Space en	vironment, Anomalies		16. PRICE CODE
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Contents

Introduction	1
Orbital Data Acquisition Program (ODAP) Database	3
Environmental Category	7
Reclassification	9
References	11
Figures	
Sample from database showing mission failure of DSCS 2	4
2. Sample from database showing an environmental anomaly	5
Tables	
Air Force satellites in the ODAP database	3
2. General cause of anomalies	7
3. Environmental causes of anomalies	8
4. Criticality of an orbital incident.	8
5. Spacecraft anomalies by Environmental Category	8
6. Anomalies cause by electrostatic discharges	9

Introduction

A study was conducted to provide an assessment of the capability of using existing databases to determine the seriousness of environmental effects on spacecraft. The conclusion of this study is that at least 20% of all anomalies, including some satellite failures, have been caused by environmental effects. However, existing databases are inadequate to determine the complete extent of environmental effects on satellites. The most serious problem with existing databases is the lack of anomaly time and spacecraft position information. These are essential to relating anomalies to the space environment.

In order to assess the environmental effects on spacecraft it is essential that each and every orbital incident be assigned a record, and that each record be tagged with the time of the incident (as close as feasible given telemetry coverage) and with the location of the satellite.

This report documents the results of the Spacecraft Anomalies Database Study. The purpose of this study was to provide an assessment of the capability of using existing databases to determine if environmental effects on spacecraft are significant.

This study was performed eight years ago. The databases used for the study are no longer maintained. Separate databases are currently maintained by NOAA, the Air Force 50th Weather Squadron at Falcon AFB, and individual Program Offices. The latter generally do not conform to the standards of those maintained by NOAA and the AF 50th WS.

Orbital Data Acquisition Program (ODAP) Database

The major database used for this study was the database from the Orbital Data Acquisition Program (ODAP). ODAP is a depository of on-orbit incidents that provides a capability to print subsets of failure reports keyed to hardware/software type failures, satellite program, manufacturer, environments, quality of workmanship, orbital phase, seriousness of anomaly, etc. This data system provides a unique history of incidents with interpretation of anomalies and failures and the assemblies, subassemblies, or modules in which each occurred for the U.S. Air Force and selected other satellites listed in Table 1. In addition approximately 90 non-Air Force satellites are included in the database. This database was provided to us by the Reliability Department at The Aerospace Corporation. An example of a record from this database showing the mission failure of DSCS 2 is shown in Figure 1.

System Operability Update, Review and Characteristics Evaluation (SOURCE) Program Printouts were also obtained. This document incorporates on-orbit anomaly information through FY82 for a Space Division Operational Program. The database was analyzed by Kelley Spearman. A summary was presented at the Spacecraft Anomaly Workshop.²

A variety of lists of anomalies for specific Air Force satellites has been collected. Generally, they are in the form of type-written tables with added hand annotations. Most of them are already contained in the ODAP.

The quality of all available databases for a statistical study of environmental anomalies ranges from fair to poor. The largest database, ODAP, is oriented toward hardware failures. It appears to be an excellent database for that purpose. However, it does not contain the information needed for an assessment of the role played by the environment. The time and date of each occurrence is not contained in the database. There are redundant entries, and many occurrences of the same symptom are lumped into one record as shown In Figure 2. When a recurring problem is identified, it is often referenced, and then future occurrences are omitted.

Table 1. Air Force satellites in the ODAP database.

CL - Classified Programs	NATO 2 and NATO 3
DMSP	SKYNET 1 and SKYNET 2
DSCS 2 and DSCS 3	SPACE TEST PROGRAM
FLTSATCOM	TAC COMSAT
GPS	VELA
IDCSP	

```
PROGRAM -DSCS 2 FLIGHT NO. -01 LAUNCH DATE-71 NOV
  INCIDENT
                                                                    -TELEMETRY TRACKING AND COMMAND
         SUBSYSTEM
                                                         -PCM ENCODER
          ASSEMBLY
                                                                                                                                               Company of the compan
         SUBASSEMBLY OR TYPE-MULTIPLEXER
                                                                                                                                                                             The second secon
        MODULE OR TYPE -NON MICROPROCESSOR
                                                                  -DESIGN, MACNETIC STORM, ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE
        CAUSE
        FAILURE TIME - 15 MANUFACT-GEN DYNAMC DUTY CYCLE -100 CLASS -ELECTRICAL REPORT NO. :1-19 FEEDBACK-ANOMALIES CRITICALITY-GLITCH ORITAL PHASE-STEADY ST
                                                            *** SYMPTOM - SEVERAL LOSSES OF TELEMETRY SYNCH OCCURRED AT GROUND STATION ***
                                                                                CAUSE - TRANSIENT ON ENCODER TO MULTIPLEXER SYNCH LINE, POSSIBLY DUE
                                                                                           TO MAGNETIC SUBSTORM
             . . . . . .
                                                            *** RECOVERY METHOD - NONE
                                                                                   CORRECTIVE ACTION - GROUNDED ALL(HHERE POSSIBLE)EXTERNAL CONDUCTIVE ***
SURFACES TO ELIMINATE DIFFERENTIAL CHARGING DURING SURSTORM
               ***
                                                            ***
                                                                                    COMMENT-SIMILAR PROBLEM FLIGHTS 2,4,13 (SEE F.R.2-10,4-6,13-14)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            the same of the sa
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             بسانسين والتصافيا والتناوي المناوي والمستقدان والمتعدد والمراور
                                                                                                     PROGRAM -DSCS 2 FLIGHT NO. -01
                                                                        PROGRAM -DSCS 2 FLIGHT NO. -01 LAUNCH DATE-71 NOV
-ELECTRICAL POWER AND DISTRIBUTION SUBSYSTEM
-LOAD CONTROL
INCIDENT
        SUBSYSTEM
        ASSEMBLY
        SUBASSEMBLY OR TYPE-PONER INTERFACE SHITCHING
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          MODULE OR TYPE
                                                                    -DESIGN, MAGNETIC STORM, ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE
                                                                                                                                                                                                      ATIC DISCHARGE

DUTY CYCLE -100 CLASS -ELECTRICAL
CRITICALITY-MISSION ORITAL PHASE-STEADY ST
        FAILURE TIME - 19
                                                                                                      MANUFACT-TRH
        REPORT NO. :1-21
                                                                                                       FEEDBACK-GAP
                                                                                  SYMPTOM - NO POHER TO COMMUNICATION SUBSYSTEM, MISSION TERMINATED
                                                           ***
                                                                                  CAUSE - SHITCHING LOGIC ASSEMBLY COMMANDS INEFFECTIVE, DESPUN POMER ***
                                                           ***
                                                                                         LOSS DURING GEOMAGNETIC STORM
                                                           ***
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              ***
                                                           ***
                                                                                   RECOVERY METHOD - NONE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          ***
                                                                                  CORRECTIVEACTION - GROUNDED ALL(MHERE POSSIBLE) EXTERNAL CONDUCTIVE ***
                                                           ***
                                                                                           SURFACES TO ELIMINATE DIFFERENTIAL CHARGING DURING SUN STORM ***
```

Figure 1. Sample from database showing mission failure of DSCS 2.

The smaller tables of anomalies are virtually useless. They contain program-specific acronyms that would have to be interpreted by someone who is reasonably familiar with the details of the spacecraft. Also, some of these data were already contained in the ODAP.

We have made two attempts to use the ODAP database to determine the significance of environmental effects on spacecraft. The results are described in the next two sections.

INCIDENT 7	72	PROGRAM -DSCS 2 FLIGHT NO04 LAUNCH DATE-73 DEC
SUBSYSTEM		-COMMUNICATIONS PAYLOAD SUBSYSTEM
ASSEMBLY		-COMMUNICATIONS PAYLOAD SUBSYSTEM
	OR TYP	The second secon
MODULE OR TY		E-IF AMPLIFIER(NON LINEAR) -TUNNEL DIODE AMPLIFIER LIMITER
CAUSE		SIGN, MAGNETIC STORM, ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE
FAILURE TIME		MANUFACT-AERTECH DUTY CYCLE -100 CLASS -FLECTDICAL
REPORT NO.	:4-5	CECINICAL
REPURI NO.		FEEDBACK-ANOMALIES CRITICALITY-GLITCH ORITAL PHASE-INFANT MOR
	***	SYMPTOM - GAIN CHANGES IN TUNNEL DIODE AMPLIFIER LIMITER WHICH IS A ***
	. ** *	TYPE OF IF AMPLIFIER IN THE RECEIVER
	***	CAUSE - MAGNETIC SUBSTORM
	***	RECOVERY METHOD - RESET GAIN BY GROUND COMMAND ***
	***	CORRECTIVE ACTION - SATELLITE SHIELDING AND GROUNDING IMPROVED, ***
	***	ISOLATION ADDED TO GAIN CONTROL LOGIC, ADDED RESISTORS TO REDUCE ***
	***	TUNNEL DIODE AMPLIFIER LIMITER GAIN CONTROL NOISE SENSITIVITY ***
	***	FLIGHT 7 ON XXX
	***	COMMENT-REPEAT OCCURRENCES, OCCURRED ON 22,25,29 DEC 19:3, 3,11,26,30 ***
	***	JAN,20,27 JUL,18,24 AUG,23 SEP,2,7,11,12,14,23,24 OCT,16,18,20,24,25 ***
	***	NOV,14 DEC 1974, 6 JAN,4,10,12 DCT,6,9,16,27 NOV,12 DEC 1975, 5,15, ***
	×××	23,25 JAN,2,10,15 FEB,3,7,14 MAR,28 SEP,9,17 OCT,5 DFC 1976, 20 JAN, ***
	***	8 FEB,22,25 SEP,20 OCT,1,16,19 NOV,16 DEC 1977, 6 JAN.2,23 OCT.14
	***	NOV,2 DEC 1978, 5 JAN,2,18 OCT 1979, 7 JAN,1 MAR.6,24 OCT.30 NOV.6 ***
	***	DEC 1980,4 FEB,6 OCT 1981,1,16 JAN,5 MAR,19 SEP,17 NOV,31 DEC(2) ***
	×××	1982,22,28 JAN,1 MAR,17,23 SEP,19,27 OCT,8,29 NOV,20,28 DEC 83 ***
	×××	13 JAN,7 FEB,11,15,26 SEP,15 DEC 84
		The second secon
INCIDENT 135	5	PROGRAM -DSCS 2 FLIGHT NO04 LAUNCH DATE-73 DEC
SUBSYSTEM		-TELEMETRY TRACKING AND COMMAND
ASSEMBLY		-SIEERABLE ANIENNA
		E-RIAXIAL DRIVE
MODULE OR TY		-RESOLVER
CAUSE	-DE:	SIGN, MAGNETIC STORM, ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE
FAILURE TIME	- 0	MANUFACT-TRH DUTY CYCLE - 100 CLASS -ELECTRICAL
REPORT NO.	:	4-4FEEDBACK-ANOMALIES CRITICALITY-GLITCH ORITAL PHASE-INFANT MOR
	***	SYMPTOM-RESOLVER ELECTRONIC SELECT LOGIC CHANGES ***
	***	CAUSE-INADVERTENT SHITCHING LOGIC ASSEMBLY (SLA) COMMANDS TRIGGERED ***
	***	BY MAGNETIC STORM ***
	***	RECOVERY METHOD-S/C WAS RECONFIGURED FROM GROUND STATION ***
	***	CORRECTIVE ACTION-REDESIGNED TO IMPROVE GROUNDING, SHIELDING, ADDED ***
	***	RELAYS TO GAIN CONTROL, SLA BUS FILTERED, EXECUTE LINES FILTERED, ***
	×××	FLIGHT 7 ON ***
•	×××	COMMENT-REPEAT OCCURRENCES, OCCURRED ON 22,29 DEC 1973,3,11,26,30 JAN. ***
•	***	25 APR,27 JUN,20,27 JUL,18,24 AUG,2,7,12,14,23,24 OCT,16,20 NOV.14 ***
	***	DEC 1974, 6 JAN,4,12 OCT,6,16,27 NOV,12 DEC 1975, 23 JAN,3,10,15 FEB ***
•	×××	,3 MAR,5 DEC 1976, 20 OCT,16,19 NOV 1977, 2,23 OCT,2 DEC 1978, 5 JAN ***
	***	,2 OCT 79,24 OCT 80,17 NOV,31 DEC 82,22 JAN,29 NOV 83,15 DEC 84
		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

Figure 2. Sample from database showing an environmental anomaly.

Environmental Category

Each of the spacecraft anomalies in the ODAP database have been identified with two levels of causes, a general cause and a specific cause. The general causes used in the database are listed in Table 2. The environment is one of the general causes. Note that the assessment that the environment was a cause was made by somebody before the entry was made in the database. The specific environmental causes are listed in Table 3. Five of these are pertinent to this study: (1) Electrostatic Discharge, (2) Van Allen Belt, (3) Solar Flare, (4) Magnetic Storm, and (5) Deep Space Ion. The last one would now be called a Single-Event Upset (SEU). These causes overlap to a great extent. Electrostatic discharges are frequently caused by satellite surface charging by electrons that have been energized by a magnetic storm. The magnetic storm results from a build up of electrons in the tail of the magnetosphere following a solar flare. The database frequently lists more than one cause for an anomaly.

The database also contains a criticality field. This relates to the severity of an orbital incident. The criticality categories are listed in Table 4.

The database currently contains about 3600 records. Printouts were obtained from the Reliability Department containing the records in each of the five environmental categories listed above. The printouts were hand-searched for the criticality for each incident. The results are summarized in Table 5. There are 431 total incidents (records) that are identified with these five environmental categories. Adding the separate sums from the five categories in Table 5 gives a total of 594. This shows that many of the incidents are identified with more than one environmental category in the database. Even more serious is the use of one record to identify multiple occurrences of the same anomaly. No attempt has been made to quantify this, but it is estimated that the record count is 2 to 3 times less than the anomaly count when multiple references to incidents in one record are considered. Most of the environmental anomalies are classified as glitches. A glitch is defined as an incident that lasts up to two days. A transitory anomaly is one that lasts 3 to 30 days.

Table 5 gives a reasonable overview of the problem. A few major failures have been attributed to environmentally induced anomalies. However, the majority of the problems attributed to the environment have been classified as glitches.

Table 2. General cause of anomalies.

Design	Replaced (obsolete)
Environment	Standby (dormant)
Operation	Unknown
Parts	Wearout
Quality/Workmanship	

Table 3. Environmental causes of anomalies.

Acceleration	Magnetic Storm*
Acoustic Noise	Magnetization
Air Pressure	Micrometeor
Asteroid	RF Interference
Atmospheric Noise	Shock
Bright Objects	Solar Flare*
Contamination	Static Electricity
Deep Space Ion*	Temperature
Eclipse	Ultraviolet Radiation
Electromagnetic Interference	Vacuum
Electrostatic Discharge*	Van Allen Belt*
Gravity	VHF Interference
Humidity	Vibration
Lightning	Water

^{*}Causes considered in this study.

Table 4. Criticality of an orbital incident.

Prime Mission Failure	Temporary Failure
Secondary Mission Failure	Degraded Performance
Redundant Unit Failure	Temporary
Work around Failure	Transitory
Serendipity Failure	Glitch
Single Point Failure	Intermittent
Failure	ij

Table 5. Spacecraft anomalies by Environmental Category

	Glitch	Degrade Perform	Single Part Failure	Transitory	Redundant Unit	Work Around	Temporary	Mission	Total
ESD	114	7	1	2	5	2	2	2	135
DEEP SPACE ION	184	9	0	0	2	4	1	2	202
VAN ALLEN BELT	21	8	0	0	0	8	1	7	45
SOLAR FLARE	37	35	4	3	1	1	1	4	86
MAGNETIC STORM	111	7	0	0	4	1	2	1	126
TOTAL	467	66	5	5	12	16	7	16	594

Reclassification

The classification scheme in the ODAP was not specific enough to allow the assessment of the significance of the environmental effects on spacecraft. The anomalies have been reclassified into six categories: (1) Mission Failure, (2) Random Part Failure, (3) Degraded Performance, (4) Phantom Commands, (5) Spurious Signals, and (6) Command Errors.

All redundancies have been removed from anomalies attributed to Electrostatic Discharges in the ODAP printout, and the specific incidents have been listed by category and program in Table 6. This gives a much better picture of the seriousness of the problem.

Table 6. Anomalies cause by electrostatic discharges.

I. Mission Failure Power lost to communication subsystem (DSCS 2). 1. 2 (GOES 2). II. Random Part Failure Thermistor (CL 1). 1. Reset Generator lockup in reset state (DSCS 2). 2 Analyzer wheel locked against a mechanical stop (VOYAGER) 3. Clocks (GPS). III. Degraded Performance Link signal strength decreased 5 dB and data was noisy (CL 1). Plasma Monitor calibration change (DMSP). 2 3. Telemetry sync losses (DSCS 2). Shift in clock frequency (GPS). 4. 5 Sudden drop of 15 percent in solar array power (HERMES). 6. Eight telemetry words lost permanently (INTELSAT 5). Solar array shunt current telemetry lost (INTELSAT 5). 7. Malfunction in command system resulted in 2-month delay in operations (MARECS). 8. IV. Phantom Commands Focal plane heater switched from enable to inhibit. 1.

- 2. Star sensor threshold level changed from 1 to 2 (CL 1).
- Amplifier gain changes (DSCS 2).
- 4. Beacon gain settings (DSCS 2).
- 5 Azimuth motor step changes (DSCS 2).
- 6. Resolver electronic select logic changes (DSCS 2).
- 7. Loss of earth lock and spin-up (DSCS 2).
- 8. Extraneous switching of low-level logic signals in Switching Logic Assembly (DSCS 2).
- 9. Command message length flip-flop reset (DSCS 2).
- 10. Radiometer sounder configuration change disrupted operation 22 times (GOES).
- 11. Radiometer PM tube gain changed (GOES).
- 12. Interruption of video imagery (GOES).
- 13. Solar array drive motors reconfigured to hold mode from auto- track mode (GPS).
- 14. Bypass timer shutoff (GPS).
- 15. S/C was acquired in an anomalous data rate (GPS).

- 16. Antenna electronics anomalously switched to a redundant unit (INTELSAT 3).
- 17. Despun platform spun up (INTELSAT 3).
- 18. PCM encoder switched modes causing loss of data (INTELSAT 4).
- 19. Loss of despin control caused despin platform to drive off the earth (INTELSAT 4).
- 20. Erroneous command appeared in decoder command register (INTELSAT 4).
- 21. Loss of earth lock due to switch of "sensor in control" and change from "torque" to "speed" mode (INTELSAT 5).
- 22. Reconfigurations of attitude determination and control systems such as scan inhibition of earth sensors (INTELSAT 5).
- 23. Momentum wheel's automatic unload function disabled (INTELSAT5).
- 24. L-band transponder tripped (INTELSAT 5).
- 25. Pitch control was transferred to speed mode resulting in loss of earth lock (INTELSAT 5).
- 26. Spurious telemetry switchings (MARECS)
- 27. Antenna pointing errors (NATO 3)
- 28. Heaters turned on. Reduced communications power by 2 dB. (PIONEER)
- 29. Magnetometer filter changes gain. (P78-2)
- 30. Processor clock jumped by 16 seconds (P73-5)
- 31. Despin electronics control switched automatically (TAC COMSAT).
- 32. Uncommanded switchoffs in military and civilian repeater packages. (TELECOM).
- 33. Eight second timing error in computer command subsystem. (VOYAGER)
- 34. Imaging camera reset a number of times (VOYAGER).

V. Spurious Signal

- 1. From toggling switch between preamp power converter units of IR sensor (CL 1).
- Impact sensor indicated a false alarm (CL 1).
- 3. Noise strobes over the focal plane (CL 1).
- 4. Preprocessor Strobe Alarms (CL 1).
- 5. Temperature sensor made a step increase of 43 deg (CL 1).
- 6. Gain changes in tunnel diode amplifier (DSCS 2).
- 7. Telemetry flip-flop monitor for commanding despin incorrect (DSCS 2).
- 8. Erroneous signals from multiplexer (DSCS 2).
- 9. Vehicle command count errors (register shift) (GPS).
- 10. Anomalous PCM encoder register reconfiguration (GPS).
- 11. Telemetry calibrations shifted upwards (INTELSAT 5).

VI. Command Errors

- 1. Incorrect response to sensitivity level command In star sensor (CL 1).
- 2. Command scramble resulted in despin controller losing earth lock (DSCS 2).
- 3. Satellite spinning up (DSCS 2).

There have been two mission failures attributed to the environment, DSCS 2 and GOES 2. The most serious "Random Part Failure" was the loss of the clocks on the GPS satellites.

The largest category is Phantom Commands. These are uncommanded reconfigurations of the vehicle. Since the area of susceptibility is not discovered until the vehicle is in orbit, this may prove to be the most serious problem. A disconcerting number of them seems to occur in the attitude control subsystem. Frequently, these phantom commands occur when the vehicle is not being monitored.

The term "glitch" used in the ODAP to identify the criticality of most of the incidents listed in Table 6 would seem to understate the seriousness of the problems that have been associated with the environment.

References

- 1. System Operability Update, Review and Characteristics Evaluation (SOURCE) program Printouts, Aerospace Report No. TOR-O083(3409-34)-1, The Aerospace Corporation, 15 October 1982.
- 2. K. R. Spearman, "A Case Study at Geostationary Altitude," presented at the Spacecraft Anomaly Workshop, Boulder, Colorado, 2-4 October 1985.

TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS

The Aerospace Corporation functions as an "architect-engineer" for national security programs, specializing in advanced military space systems. The Corporation's Technology Operations supports the effective and timely development and operation of national security systems through scientific research and the application of advanced technology. Vital to the success of the Corporation is the technical staff's wide-ranging expertise and its ability to stay abreast of new technological developments and program support issues associated with rapidly evolving space systems. Contributing capabilities are provided by these individual Technology Centers:

Electronics Technology Center: Microelectronics, solid-state device physics, VLSI reliability, compound semiconductors, radiation hardening, data storage technologies, infrared detector devices and testing; electro-optics, quantum electronics, solid-state lasers, optical propagation and communications; cw and pulsed chemical laser development, optical resonators, beam control, atmospheric propagation, and laser effects and countermeasures; atomic frequency standards, applied laser spectroscopy, laser chemistry, laser optoelectronics, phase conjugation and coherent imaging, solar cell physics, battery electrochemistry, battery testing and evaluation.

Mechanics and Materials Technology Center: Evaluation and characterization of new materials: metals, alloys, ceramics, polymers and their composites, and new forms of carbon; development and analysis of thin films and deposition techniques; nondestructive evaluation, component failure analysis and reliability; fracture mechanics and stress corrosion; development and evaluation of hardened components; analysis and evaluation of materials at cryogenic and elevated temperatures; launch vehicle and reentry fluid mechanics, heat transfer and flight dynamics; chemical and electric propulsion; spacecraft structural mechanics, spacecraft survivability and vulnerability assessment; contamination, thermal and structural control; high temperature thermomechanics, gas kinetics and radiation; lubrication and surface phenomena.

Space and Environment Technology Center: Magnetospheric, auroral and cosmic ray physics, wave-particle interactions, magnetospheric plasma waves; atmospheric and ionospheric physics, density and composition of the upper atmosphere, remote sensing using atmospheric radiation; solar physics, infrared astronomy, infrared signature analysis; effects of solar activity, magnetic storms and nuclear explosions on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere and magnetosphere; effects of electromagnetic and particulate radiations on space systems; space instrumentation; propellant chemistry, chemical dynamics, environmental chemistry, trace detection; atmospheric chemical reactions, atmospheric optics, light scattering, state-specific chemical reactions and radiative signatures of missile plumes, and sensor out-of-field-of-view rejection.